

An Open Letter to the Government of Ontario

Ontario Power Generation's Plan to Bury Nuclear Waste in Deep Underground Caverns Beside Lake Huron Must be Set Aside

Dear Premier Wynne and Members of the Ontario Legislature:

Last week, a panel appointed by the federal Minister of the Environment and the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission in 2012 provided the Minister with its final report on the review of Ontario Power Generation's proposed Deep Geologic Repository for Low and Intermediate Level Radioactive Wastes. The Joint Review Panel (JRP) recommended that the federal minister approve the proposed repository, despite the expert evidence they heard throughout the public hearings about numerous technical uncertainties, and in the face of large and growing public opposition. The JRP conclusions are flawed.

We are writing to the Government of Ontario, as the sole shareholder of the proponent, Ontario Power Generation, to request that Ontario Power Generation (OPG) be directed to withdraw its proposal and that the Government of Ontario initiate a needs assessment with respect to the storage and management of low, intermediate and high level radioactive wastes at OPG owned and/or operated reactors.

In brief, Ontario Power Generation is proposing to construct a series of caverns 680 metres below-surface in a band of limestone, and to transfer into those caverns 200,000 cubic metres of nuclear waste. Some of these wastes – called "low level" radioactive wastes – do not require extra barriers to shield workers from radioactivity, although they are still hazardous. Other wastes, classified as "intermediate" wastes are highly radioactive. In fact, intermediate waste is almost as radioactive as "high level waste" and as recently as 2002 was identified as Type III waste, with similar radioactivity to used fuel or irradiated nuclear fuel waste. Elements of these wastes will remain dangerously radioactive for hundreds of thousands of years, and some for even far longer than that.

At the end of a nine year review the proposed DGR project has too many unknowns. For example:

- Ontario Power Generation's characterization and inventory of the wastes remains incomplete.
- The rate at which gas will be generated by deteriorating metal waste containers is still unknown; this is important, because these gas pressures can cause fracturing that could speed the release of radionuclides out to the biosphere.
- The chemical stability of some wastes, such as ion exchange resins, is uncertain over time.
- Many of the "design" decisions have not yet been made, including important features like the seal for the vertical shafts that connect the underground repository to the environment.

However, many things that <u>are</u> known about the Project cause concern, such as:

• The only example Ontario Power Generation offered of a similar deep geologic repository for radioactive wastes, the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant in New Mexico, is no longer operating after an underground fire and loss of containment resulted in radioactive releases to the surface in 2014.

- Management of the wastes through placement in the proposed DGR will cost approximately four times more than above-ground options, with current cost estimates at over \$2 billion; OPG's pattern of persistently underestimating costs for nuclear projects over the last several decades suggests that real costs are more likely to be in the \$6 to \$10 billion range.
- Ontario Power Generation's proposal (2011) is for 200,000 metres³ but in August 2013 Ontario Power Generation acknowledged on the public record that they intend to double the amount of waste to be placed in the proposed DGR and will seek a licence amendment <u>after</u> they receive a project approval based on the original volume; the final use and size of the proposed DGR remain unknown.
- 154 municipalities representing more than 20 million people have passed resolutions opposing OPG's proposed waste repository; the large and growing public opposition includes many elected representatives in the U.S.
- The Project is not supported by the Saugeen Ojibway Nation; Ontario Power Generation has previously stated that it will not proceed without the support of the Saugeen Ojibway Nation.
- This project is an unacceptable risk to the world's largest fresh water supply: the Great Lakes.

It would go downstream, and pollute the fresh water that people need to sustain themselves.

There are enough problems with the lack of fresh water, and here we are basically creating a potential issue for the future

Chief Vernon Roote, Saugeen Ojibway Nation, May 2015

During 33 days of hearings in 2013 and 2014 it became abundantly clear that Ontario Power Generation's proposal was still very much in flux. It also became apparent that the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission (CNSC) staff, who were attending the hearings daily, were operating as advocates rather than impartial assessors of Ontario Power Generation's incomplete proposal. CNSC staff repeatedly told the Review Panel that key decisions could be left until after an approval was issued by the Joint Review Panel, and the CNSC staff themselves would become the decision-makers.

The Joint Review Panel's 450 page report does a reasonable job of acknowledging the myriad issues raised through written submissions and hearing testimony by members of the public, independent experts, Saugeen Ojibway Nation, and the Panel's own experts. What is unreasonable is the Panel's complete dismissal of many of these issues, and the deferring of other issues to a future decision-maker. Simply leaving them unresolved – while recommending project approval – is unreasonable and concerning. In addition, the Panel report is flawed by internal contradictions and overly generalized statements which are not supported by the hearing record.

As the Joint Review Panel notes in the opening pages of its report, this Project is without precedent anywhere in the world. It is also an exercise in contradiction, as is the JRP report itself. For example:

- Ontario Power Generation argued that the repository is needed to remove the wastes from the surface and keep them "safe" from threats such as terror attacks or social collapse, yet Ontario Power Generation also contends that the wastes are safe at their present above-ground location, and continues to generate more and more of such wastes, including highly radioactive spent fuel which will have to remain on surface for decades due to heat and radiation levels.
- The Joint Review Panel contends that the proposed site was preferred above others primarily because it would avoid risks associated with further transportation, yet the wastes from the Darlington and Pickering generating stations continue to be transported long distances to the Western Waste Management Facility, adjacent to the proposed site of the repository.

- The Joint Review Panel recommends in their report that "OPG should minimize the volume of waste stored in the DGR" but in the same report states that doubling the volume of waste (with the addition of decommissioning waste) would not change project outcomes.
- The Joint Review Panel acknowledges that there are uncertainties related to many technical aspects of the project but asserts that these same aspects, in combination, provide confidence in the Project.

The evidence presented to the Joint Review Panel by expert consultants retained by the Review Panel, by independent scientists and engineers, and by other hearing participants establish that the project's proposed design and site geology is **uncertain**, the project is **unacceptable** to the public and the residents of the Great Lakes basin, the project is unnecessary for the management of the wastes, and unaffordable from a costbenefit perspective.

The federal government may accept a flawed project, approved through a flawed review. The Provincial Government should not follow suit.

The undersigned organizations urge you as the sole shareholder of OPG to:

- Direct Ontario Power Generation to withdraw its proposal to bury nuclear waste beside Lake Huron,
- Direct the Minister of Environment and Climate Change and the Minister of Energy jointly to convene an expert and citizens' advisory panel to conduct a needs assessment with respect to the storage and management of low, intermediate and high level radioactive wastes at OPG owned and/or operated reactors.

Respectfully submitted on May 14th, 2015 by the undersigned organizations:

Algoma Manitoulin Nuclear Awareness

Algonquin Eco Watch

Blue Water Coalition Against the Deep Geological Repositories

Bruce Peninsula Environment Group (BPEG)

Canadian Association of Physicians for the Environment

Canadian Coalition for Nuclear Responsibility

Canadian Environmental Law Association

Citizens Concerned About Nuclear Waste in Elliot Lake

Citizens Environment Alliance of southwestern Ontario

Citizens' Clearinghouse on Waste Management

Concerned Citizens of Blind River

Concerned Citizens of Hornepayne

Concerned Citizens of Renfrew County

Concerned Citizens of White River

Durham Nuclear Awareness (DNA)

Faith & The Common Good

Friends of Bruce

Lake Huron Must be Set Aside

Grand River Environmental Network

Greenpeace Canada

Honey Harbour Fishing Club

3

Huron Grey Bruce Citizens Committee on Nuclear Wastes

Inverhuron District Ratepayers Association

Justice and Global Issues Committee, South East Presbytery, United Church of Canada

Kawartha Truth and Reconciliation Support Group.

Manitoulin Island Cycling Associates (MICA)

MiningWatch Canada

Nibi Emosaawdamajig

Nipissing Environmental Watch

Northwatch

Ontario Clean Air Alliance

Pax Christi Toronto

Peterborough Greenspace Coalition

Physicians for Global Survival

Prevent Cancer Now

Provincial Council of Women of Ontario

Sacred Water Circle

Save Our Saugeen Shores

Science for Peace

Sierra Club Ontario Chapter

Sierra Club Canada Foundation

Southampton Residents Association

Temiskaming Environmental Action Committee

The Council of Canadians

The Inverhuron Committee

The Kawartha Lakes Water Walkers

The National Council of Women of Canada

Veterans Against Nuclear Arms

ZeroWaste4ZeroBurning

Committee for Future Generations

Council for Public Health in Mining Communities

Inter-Church Uranium Committee Educational Cooperative (ICUCEC)

les Artistes pour la Paix

Maritime Aboriginal Peoples Council

Sept-Iles Sans URANIUM

Watershed Sentinel Educational Society (WSES)

Binational Great Lakes Committee of the Sierra Club and the Sierra Club of Canada

Foundation

Alliance to Halt Fermi 3

Beyond Nuclear

Cape Downwinders

Citizens for Alternatives to Chemical Contamination

Citizens' Resistance at Fermi 2 (CRAFT)

Coalition Against Nukes

Coalition for a Nuclear Free Great Lakes

Concerned Citizens for Nuclear Safety

Concerned Citizens of Big Bay

Connecticut Coalition Against Millstone

Crabshell Alliance

Disarm / End Wars Committee, Women's International League for Peace and Freedom

Don't Waste Michigan, Sherwood Chapter

Environmentalists, Inc.

Fairmont, MN Peace Group

Heart of America Northwest

Michigan Safe Energy Future - Shoreline Chapter (MSEF-SH)

Michigan Safe Energy Future-Kalamazoo, MI Chapter

Michigan Stop The Nuclear Bombs Campaign

Nuclear Information and Resource Service (NIRS)

Nukewatch

Oak Ridge Environmental Peace Alliance

Oglala Sioux Tribe Cultural Affairs and Historic Preservation Office

Ohio CARE - Citizens Against a Radioactive Environment

Pennsylvania Alliance for Clean Water and Air

Physicians for Social Responsibility - Kansas City

Portsmouth/Piketon Residents for Environmental Safety and Security (PRESS)

Protect Our Manoomin

Rocky Mountain Peace and Justice Center

Saginaw Home for Peace and Justice

Save Our Sky Blue Waters

Sierra Club, Atlantic Chapter

Sierra Club, Michigan Chapter

Southwest Research and Information Center

The Berkeley Fellowship of Unitarian Universalists Social Justice Committee

The Colorado Coalition for Prevention of Nuclear War

The Helen Caldicott Foundation

The Hiroshima Nagasaki Day Coalition

Uranium Watch

Vermont Citizens Action Network

Women's International League for Peace and Freedom, U.S. Section/Earth Democracy

Campaign

BI Umweltschutz Lüchow - Dannenberg

Platform Against Nuclear Dangers Salzburg

WISE (World Information Service on Energy)